

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/676,565	Applicant(s) BECKER ET AL.	
	Examiner SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK.

(2) Mark C. Van Ness.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: afternoon

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
No.

Claims discussed:
All independent Claims, specifically Claims 1 & 2.

Prior art documents discussed:
No.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed amendment to Claims 1 & 2 so as to make claims allowable over Prior Art. Further amend all independent claims as per claim 1 amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)